arXiv:quant-ph/0501063v1 12 Jan 2005

TELEPORTATION OF THE STATES OF MOTION OF ATOMS BY INTERACTION WITH TWO-SLIT SCREENS AND CAVITIES

E. S. Guerra
Departamento de Física
Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro
Cx. Postal 23851, 23890-000 Seropédica, RJ, Brazil
email:emerson@ufrrj.br

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We present a scheme of teleportation of the state of motion of atoms making use of screens with slits and cavities. The fascinating aspects of quantum mechanics are highlighted making use of entanglement and the interaciton of atoms with slits.

PACS: 03.65.Ud; 03.67.Mn; 32.80.-t; 42.50.-p

Keywords: double-slit experimant; entanglement; non-locality; teleportation; cavity QED.

1 Introduction

Only about twenty years ago it was put forward by Bonioff [1] and Feynmann [2] the idea of building up of computers based on the principles of quantum mechanics which was developed concretely by David Deutsch [3] in 1985. Since then it has been discussed in the literature the possible implications of quantum entanglement [4] and non-locality in the broad new and promising filed of research embracing quantum information and quantum computation [5, 6, 7]. Entanglement and non-locality is fascinating, and although at the same time it is conterintuitive, it can have revolutionizing impact on our thinking about information processing and computing. Einstein Podolsky and Rosen, noticed the implications of quantum entanglement and proposed a *gedanken* experiment, the EPR experiment [8] in order to show that quantum mechanics was not a complete theory which could explain nature correctly. Probably one of the most notable and dramatic among various concepts developed through the application of quantum mechanics to the information science and which is a consequence of entanglement and non-locality, is teleportation put forward by Bennett *et al* [9], given rise to a new field of research. Quantum teleportation is an experimental reality and it holds tremendous potential for applications in the fields of quantum communication and computing [6, 5, 7]. For instance, it can be used to build quantum gates which are resistant to noise and is intimately connected with quantum error-correcting codes [5].

As Feymann put it, "the double-slit experiment has in it the heart of quantum mechanics". The double-slit experiment has been performed with many different kinds of particles ranging from photons [10], electrons [11], neutrons [12] and atoms [13]. In this article we propose a scheme of teleportation of the states of motion of atoms interacting with two-slit screens and the electromagnetic field in cavities. We assume that the atoms are Rydberg atoms with relatively long radiative lifetime [14]. We also assume that the cavities are microwave superconducting cavities of relatively large quality factors [15, 16]

2 TELEPORTATION OF THE STATE OF NOTION OF ATOMS

Let us assume two three-level lambda atoms $A1$ prepared in the state $\mid b_1\rangle$ and $A2$ prepared in the state $\mid b_2\rangle$ to pass through a double slit screen $SC1$ where behind slit $SL1$ (at ζ_1) there is a cavity $C1$ prepared in a coherent state $|\alpha_1\rangle$ and behind slit $SL2$ (at ζ_2) there is a cavity $C2$ prepared in a coherent state $|\alpha_2\rangle$ [17, 18]. Now, for a three-level lambda atom interacting with the electromagnetic field inside a cavity where the upper and the two degenerated lower states are $|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$ and $|c\rangle$ respectively, and for which the $|a\rangle \rightleftharpoons |c\rangle$ and $|a\rangle \rightleftharpoons |b\rangle$ transitions are in the far from resonance interaction limit, the time evolution operator $U(t)$ for the atom-field interaction in a cavity Ck is given by [19]

$$\begin{aligned} U(\tau) &= -e^{i\varphi a_k^\dagger a_k}|a\rangle\langle a| + \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\varphi a_k^\dagger a_k}+1)|b\rangle\langle b| + \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\varphi a_k^\dagger a_k}-1)|b\rangle\langle c| \; + \\ & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\varphi a_k^\dagger a_k}-1)|c\rangle\langle b| + \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\varphi a_k^\dagger a_k}+1)|c\rangle\langle c|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where a_k $(a_k^\dagger)$ is the annihilation (creation) operator for the field in cavity Ck, $\varphi = 2g^2\tau/\ \Delta$, g is the coupling constant, $\Delta = \omega_a - \omega_b - \omega = \omega_a - \omega_c - \omega$ is the detuning where ω_a, ω_b and ω_c are the frequencies of the upper and of the two degenerate lower levels respectively and ω is the cavity field frequency and τ is the atom-field interaction time. For $\varphi = \pi$, we get

$$U(\tau) = -\exp\left(i\pi a_k^\dagger a_k\right)|a\rangle\langle a| + \Pi_{k,+}|b\rangle\langle b| + \Pi_{k,-}|b\rangle\langle c| \; + \Pi_{k,-}|c\rangle\langle b| + \Pi_{k,+}|c\rangle\langle c|, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{k,+} &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\pi a_k^\dagger a_k}+1), \\ \Pi_{k,-} &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\pi a_k^\dagger a_k}-1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{k,+}|+\rangle_k &= |+\rangle_k, \\ \Pi_{k,+}|-\rangle_k &= 0, \\ \Pi_{k,-}|-\rangle_k &= -|-\rangle_k, \\ \Pi_{k,-}|+\rangle_k &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where the even coherent state $|+\rangle_k$ and odd coherent state $|-\rangle_k$ are given by

$$\mid \pm\rangle_k = \mid \alpha_k\rangle \pm \mid -\alpha_k\rangle \tag{2.5}$$

[20], and we have used $e^{z a_k^\dagger a_k}|\alpha_k\rangle = |e^z \alpha_k\rangle$ [17].

Taking into account (2.2), after atom $A1$ has passed through the slits of screen $SC1$ and before it has passed through the cavities we have

$$|\psi\rangle_{A1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\zeta_{11}\rangle + |\zeta_{12}\rangle)|\alpha_1\rangle|\alpha_2\rangle \mid b_1\rangle. \tag{2.6}$$

After the atom $A1$ passes through the cavities we get

$$|\psi\rangle_{A1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\{|\zeta_{11}\rangle(|b_1\rangle|+\rangle_1 - |c_1\rangle|-\rangle_1)|\alpha_2\rangle + |\zeta_{12}\rangle(|b_1\rangle|+\rangle_2 - |c_1\rangle|-\rangle_2)|\alpha_1\rangle\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then, after atom $A2$ passes through the two slits $SL1$ and $SL2$ and before it has interacted with the cavities we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle_{A1-A2} &= \frac{1}{4}\{|\zeta_{21}\rangle + |\zeta_{22}\rangle\}\{|\zeta_{11}\rangle(|b_1\rangle|+\rangle_1 - |c_1\rangle|-\rangle_1)|\alpha_2\rangle + && (2.8)\\ & \quad |\zeta_{12}\rangle(|b_1\rangle|+\rangle_2 - |c_1\rangle|-\rangle_2)|\alpha_1\rangle\}|b_2\rangle, && (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

and after $A2$ has passed through $C1$ and $C2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle_{A1-A2} &= \frac{1}{4}\{|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle(|+\rangle_1|b_1\rangle|b_2\rangle + |-\rangle_1|c_1\rangle|c_2\rangle)|\alpha_2\rangle \\ & +|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle(|+\rangle_2|b_1\rangle - |-\rangle_2|c_1\rangle)\frac{1}{2}|+\rangle_1|b_2\rangle \\ & +|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle(|+\rangle_1|b_1\rangle - |-\rangle_1|c_1\rangle)\frac{1}{2}|+\rangle_2|b_2\rangle + \\ & +|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle\}(|b_1\rangle|b_2\rangle|+\rangle_2 + |c_1\rangle|c_2\rangle|-\rangle_2)|\alpha_1\rangle\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Now if we detect $|c_1\rangle$ and $|b_2\rangle$ we get

$$|\psi\rangle_{A1-A2} = \frac{1}{N}\{|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + |\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}. \tag{2.11}$$

where N is a normalization constant. Now we let an atom $A3$ prepared in the state

$$|\psi\rangle_{A3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c_b|b_3\rangle - c_c|c_3\rangle). \tag{2.12}$$

to fly through the slits and cavities of $SC1$. After $A3$ has passed through the slits we have

$$|\psi\rangle_{A1-A2-A3} = \frac{1}{N}\{|\zeta_{31}\rangle + |\zeta_{32}\rangle\}\{|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + |\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}(c_b|b_3\rangle - c_c|c_3\rangle), \tag{2.13}$$

and after $A3$ has passed through the cavities we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle_{A1-A2-A3} &= \frac{1}{N}\{|\zeta_{31}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_b|b_3\rangle + \\ & -|\zeta_{31}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_c|c_3\rangle + \\ & -|\zeta_{31}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_b|c_3\rangle + \\ & |\zeta_{31}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_c|b_3\rangle + \\ & -|\zeta_{32}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_b|c_3\rangle + \\ & |\zeta_{32}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_c|b_3\rangle + \\ & |\zeta_{32}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_b|b_3\rangle + \\ & -|\zeta_{32}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_c|c_3\rangle\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

If we detect $|b_3\rangle$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A1-A2-A3} &= \frac{1}{N}\{|\zeta_{31}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_b| + \\ &|\zeta_{31}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_c + \\ &|\zeta_{32}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_c + \\ &|\zeta_{32}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_b\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Now, we let atom $A3$ evolve toward a screen $SC2$ near screen $SC1$ with one slit and a detector behind $|\zeta\rangle$ and detect $A3$ at $|\zeta\rangle$. If the time evolution operator for the evolution of $A3$ toward the screen $SC2$ is $U(t,0)$ we have, writing $\langle\zeta|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{31}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{31}}(\zeta)$ and $\langle\zeta|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{32}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{32}}(\zeta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A1-A2-A3} &= \frac{1}{N}\{\psi_{\zeta_{31}}(\zeta)|\zeta\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_b + \\ &\psi_{\zeta_{31}}(\zeta)|\zeta\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_c + \\ &\psi_{\zeta_{32}}(\zeta)|\zeta\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 c_c + \\ &\psi_{\zeta_{32}}(\zeta)|\zeta\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 c_b\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

For $|\zeta\rangle = |\zeta_{31}\rangle$ and setting $\psi_{\zeta_{32}}(\zeta_{31}) = 0$ since we assume that $SC1$ and $SC2$ are near enough such that this condition be true, we get

$$|\psi\rangle_{A1-A2-A3} = \frac{1}{N}\{c_b|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + c_c|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}, \tag{2.17}$$

which are the states of motion of atoms $A1$ and $A2$ entangled with the cavity field states. Now we let atom $A1$ to pass through a double slit screen $SC3$ with $SL3$ at $|\gamma_1\rangle$ and $SL4$ at $|\gamma_2\rangle$. Before $A1$ passes through these slits, making use of $|\gamma_1\rangle\langle\gamma_1| + |\gamma_2\rangle\langle\gamma_2| = 1$, we write

$$|\psi\rangle_{A1-A2} = \frac{1}{N}\{|\gamma_1\rangle\langle\gamma_1| + |\gamma_2\rangle\langle\gamma_2|\}\{c_b|\zeta_{21}\rangle|\zeta_{12}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + c_c|\zeta_{22}\rangle|\zeta_{11}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}, \tag{2.18}$$

and writing for the evolution toward $SC3$ the operator $U(t,0)$ and $\langle\gamma_1|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{12}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\gamma_1)$, $\langle\gamma_1|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{11}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{11}}(\gamma_1)$, $\langle\gamma_2|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{12}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{12}}(\gamma_2)$ and $\langle\gamma_2|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{11}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{11}}(\gamma_2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A1-A2} &= \frac{1}{N}\{c_b\psi_{\zeta_{12}}(\gamma_1)|\gamma_1\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + \\ &c_c\psi_{\zeta_{11}}(\gamma_1)|\gamma_1\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 + \\ &c_b\psi_{\zeta_{12}}(\gamma_2)|\gamma_2\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + \\ &c_c\psi_{\zeta_{11}}(\gamma_2)|\gamma_2\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

If we detect atom $A1$ using a screen $SC4$ with one slit at $|\zeta\rangle = |\gamma_1\rangle$ and a detector behind this slit, we get

$$|\psi\rangle_{A2} = \frac{1}{N}\{c_b\psi_{\zeta_{12}}(\gamma_1)|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + c_c\psi_{\zeta_{11}}(\gamma_1)|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}. \tag{2.20}$$

Now, we assume that atom $A1$ is far enough from the screen $SC3$ so that the spherical waves $\psi_{\zeta_{12}}(\gamma_1)$ and $\psi_{\zeta_{11}}(\gamma_1)$ have evolved in such a way that we can consider $\psi_{\zeta_{12}}(\gamma_1) \cong \psi_{\zeta_{11}}(\gamma_1)$ and therefore we can write

$$|\psi\rangle_{A2} = \frac{1}{N}\{c_b|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + c_c|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}. \tag{2.21}$$

This is the state of motion of $A2$ which is far apart from the screen $SC1$ to be teleported to another atom $A4$. In order to do so we pass an atom $A4$ through $SL1$ and $Sl2$ in screen $SC1$ and $C1$ and $C2$. Then we have, after $A4$ has passed through the slits

$$|\psi\rangle_{A2-A4} = \frac{1}{N}\{|\zeta_{41}\rangle + |\zeta_{42}\rangle\}\{c_b|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + c_c|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}|b_4\rangle, \tag{2.22}$$

and after $A4$ has passed through the cavities

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A2-A4} &= \frac{1}{N}\{c_b|\zeta_{41}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|b_4\rangle - c_c|\zeta_{41}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|c_4\rangle \\ &\quad -c_b|\zeta_{42}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|c_4\rangle + c_c|\zeta_{42}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|b_4\rangle\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Now, we let atom $A2$ to pass through a screen $SC5$ with two slits $SL5$ (at ρ_1) and $SL6$ (at ρ_2) and before $A2$ passes through the slits, using $|\rho_1\rangle\langle\rho_1| + |\rho_2\rangle\langle\rho_2| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A2-A4} &= \frac{1}{N}\{|\rho_1\rangle\langle\rho_1| + |\rho_2\rangle\langle\rho_2|\}\{c_b|\zeta_{41}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|b_4\rangle - c_c|\zeta_{41}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|c_4\rangle \\ &\quad -c_b|\zeta_{42}\rangle|\zeta_{21}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|c_4\rangle + c_c|\zeta_{42}\rangle|\zeta_{22}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|b_4\rangle\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

and writing $\langle\rho_1|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{21}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_1)$, $\langle\rho_1|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{22}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{42}}(\rho_1)$, $\langle\rho_2|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{21}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_2)$ and $\langle\rho_2|U(t,0)\ |\zeta_{22}\rangle = \psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A2-A4} &= \frac{1}{N}\{c_b\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_1)|\rho_1\rangle|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|b_4\rangle + \\ &\quad -c_c\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_1)|\rho_1\rangle|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|c_4\rangle + \\ &\quad -c_b\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho 1)|\rho_1\rangle|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|c_4\rangle + \\ &\quad c_c\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_1)|\rho_1\rangle|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|b_4\rangle + \\ &\quad c_b\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_2)|\rho_2\rangle|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|b_4\rangle + \\ &\quad -c_c\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_2)|\rho_2\rangle|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|c_4\rangle + \\ &\quad -c_b\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_2)|\rho_2\rangle|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_2|and+\rangle_1|c_4\rangle + \\ &\quad c_c\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_2)|\rho_2\rangle|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|b_4\rangle\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

If we detect atom $A2$ using a screen $SC6$ with one slit at $|\zeta\rangle = |\rho_1\rangle$ and a detector behind this slit, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A2-A4} &= \frac{1}{N}\{c_b\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_1)|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|b_4\rangle + \\ &\quad -c_c\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_1)|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|c_4\rangle + \\ &\quad -c_b\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho 1)|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1|c_4\rangle + \\ &\quad c_c\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_1)|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2|b_4\rangle\},.\end{aligned}$$

and then we detect $|b_4\rangle$ and we get

$$|\psi\rangle_{A4} = \frac{1}{N}\{c_b\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_1)|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + c_c\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_1)|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}. \tag{2.26}$$

Now, we assume that atom $A2$ is far enough from the screen $SC5$ so that the spherical waves $\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_1)$ and $\psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_1)$ have evolved in such a way that we can consider $\psi_{\zeta_{21}}(\rho_1) \cong \psi_{\zeta_{22}}(\rho_1)$ and therefore we can write

$$|\psi\rangle_{A4} = \frac{1}{N}\{c_b|\zeta_{41}\rangle|-\rangle_2|+\rangle_1 + c_c|\zeta_{42}\rangle|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2\}. \tag{2.27}$$

and we have teleported the state of motion of $A2$ (2.21) to the state of motion of $A4$ (2.27).We can now disentangle that state of motion of $A4$ from the cavity fields states. If we inject $|\alpha_1\rangle$ and $|\alpha_2\rangle$ in cavities $C1$ and $C2$ respectively we have

$$|\psi\rangle_{A4} = \frac{1}{N}\{c_b|\zeta_{41}\rangle(|\ 2\alpha_2\rangle -|\ 0_2\rangle)(|\ 2\alpha_1\rangle +|\ 0_1\rangle) + c_c|\zeta_{42}\rangle(|\ 2\alpha_1\rangle -|\ 0_1\rangle)(|\ 2\alpha_2\rangle +|\ 0_2\rangle)\}. \tag{2.28}$$

In order to disentangle the atomic states of the cavity field state we now send two two-level atoms $A5k$ ($k = 1,2$), resonant with the cavity, with $|f_{5k}\rangle$ and $|e_{5k}\rangle$ being the lower and upper levels respectively, through Ck. If $A5k$ is sent in the lower state $|f_{5k}\rangle$, under the Jaynes-Cummings dynamics [18] we know that the state $|f_{5k}\rangle|0\rangle$ does not evolve, however, the state $|f_{5k}\rangle|2\alpha_k\rangle$ evolves to $|e_{5k}\rangle|\chi_{e5k}\rangle + |f_{5k}\rangle|\chi_{f5k}\rangle$, where $|\chi_{f5k}\rangle = \sum_{n_k} C_{n_k}\cos(gt\sqrt{n})|n_k\rangle$ and $|\chi_{e5k}\rangle = -i\sum_{n_k} C_{n_k}\sin(gt\sqrt{n+1})|n_k\rangle$ and $C_{n_k} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|2\alpha_k|^2}(2\alpha_k)^{n_k}/\sqrt{n_k!}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle_{A4-A3} &= \\ \frac{1}{N}\{c_b|\zeta_{41}\rangle[|e_{52}\rangle|\chi_{e52}\rangle + |f_{52}\rangle|\chi_{f52}\rangle - |f_{52}\rangle &\quad|\quad 0_2\rangle][|e_{51}\rangle|\chi_{e51}\rangle + |f_{51}\rangle|\chi_{f51}\rangle + |f_{351}\rangle\ |\ 0_1\rangle] + \\ c_c|\zeta_{42}\rangle[|e_{51}\rangle|\chi_{e51}\rangle + |f_{51}\rangle|\chi_{f51}\rangle - |f_{51}\rangle &\quad|\quad 0_1\rangle][|e_{52}\rangle|\chi_{e52}\rangle + |f_{52}\rangle|\chi_{f52}\rangle + |f_{52}\rangle\ |\ 0_2\rangle]\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

and if we detect atom $A5k$ in state $|e_{5k}\rangle$ finally we get

$$|\psi\rangle_{A4} = \frac{1}{N}\{c_b|\zeta_{41}\rangle + c_c|\zeta_{42}\rangle\}, \tag{2.30}$$

and the teleportation is completed successfully. In the above disentanglement process we can choose coherent field states with a photon-number distribution with a sharp peak at average photon number $\langle n_k\rangle = |\alpha_k|^2$ so that, to a good approximation, $|\chi_{f5k}\rangle \cong C_{\langle n_k\rangle}\cos(\sqrt{\langle n_k\rangle}g\tau)|\overline{n_k}\rangle$ and $|\chi_{e5k}\rangle \cong C_{\langle n_k\rangle}\sin(\sqrt{\langle n_k\rangle}g\tau)|\overline{n_k}\rangle$, where $\overline{n_k}$ is the integer nearest $\langle n_k\rangle$, and we could choose, for instance $\sqrt{\langle n_k\rangle}g\tau = \pi/2$, so that we would have $|\chi_{e5k}\rangle \cong C_{\langle n\rangle}|\overline{n_k}\rangle$ and $|\chi_{f5k}\rangle \cong 0$. In this case atom $A5k$ would be detected in state $|e_{5k}\rangle$ with almost 100% of probability. Therefore, proceeding this way, we can guarantee that the atomic and field states will be disentangled successfully as we would like. In Fig. 1 we show the set-up of the teleportation experiment.

Concluding, we have presented a scheme of teleportation of the spacial state (a state of the continuum) of atoms making use of cavities and screens with slits. Teleportation shows dramatically the strange and fascinating behavior we can find in quantum mechanics due to entanglement. The experiments involving screens with slits also shows the strange and fascinating aspects of quantum mechanics. In this work we have combined teleportation with slit experiments and shown in one strike the beautiful and fascinating aspects of quantum mechanics.

Figure Caption

Fig. 1 - Experimental set-up of the teleportation. Atom $A1$ pass through the screen $SC1$, cavities $C1$ and $C2$, detector $D1$, screens $SC3$ and $SC4$ and finally its position is detected in detector $DP1$. Atom $A2$ pass through the screen $SC1$, cavities $C1$ and $C2$, detector $D2$, screens $SC5$ and $SC6$ and finally its position is detected in detector $DP2$. Atom $A3$ pass through the screen $SC1$, cavities $C1$ and $C2$, detector $D3$, screens $SC2$ and finally its position is detected in detector $DP3$. Atom $A4$ pass through the screen $SC1$, cavities $C1$ and $C2$, and detector $D4$. Atoms $A51$ and $A52$ are not shown in the figure.

References

[1] P. Benioff, J. Stat. Phys. **29**, 515 (1982).

[2] R. Feynmann Opt News **11**, 11 (1985); R. Feynmann, Foud. of Phys. **16**, 507 (1986).

[3] D. Deutsch, Proc. R. Soc. London Scr. A **400**, 97 (1985); D. Deutsch, Proc. R. Soc. London Scr. A **425**, 73 (1989); D. Deutsch and R. Jozsa, Proc. R. Soc. London Scr. A **439**, 553 (1992).

[4] E. Schrödinger, Naturwissenschaften, **23**, 807 (1935); *ibden* 823; *ibden* 844; Proc. of the Am. Phil. Soc. **124**, 323 (1980); reprinted in *Quantum Theory of Measuremente* (eddited by J. A. Wheeler and W. H. Zurek, Princeton, 152, 1983).

[5] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000).

[6] G. Chen and R. K. Brylinski Eds., *Mathematics of Quantum Computation*, (Chapman & Hall/CRC, London, 2002).

[7] D. Bouwmeester, A. Eker and A. Zeilinger eds., *The Physics of Quantum Information,* (Springer, Berlin, 2001).

[8] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **47**, 777 (1935).

[9] C. H. Bennett, C. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).

[10] G. I. Taylor, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **15**, 114 (1909).

[11] G. Möllenstedt and C. Jönson, Z. Phys. **155**, 472 (1959); A. Tonomura, J. Endo, T. Matsuda and T. Kawasaki, Am. J. Phys. **57**, 117 (1989).

[12] A. Zeilinger, R. Gähler, C. G. Shull, W. Treimer and W. Mampe, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 1067 (1988).

[13] O. Carnal and J. Mlynek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2689 (1991).

[14] A. A. Radzig and B. M. Smirnov, *Reference Data on Atoms, Molecules, and Ions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985); T. F. Gallagher, *Rydberg Atoms* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1984).

[15] M. Brune, F. Schmidt-Kaler, A. Mauli, J. Dreyer, E. Hagley, J. M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1800 (1996).

[16] G. Rempe, F. Schmidt-Kaler and H. Walther, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2783 (1990).

[17] W. H. Louisell, *Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation*, (Wiley, New York, 1973).

[18] M. Orszag, *Quantum Optics*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).

[19] P. L. Knight, Phys. Scr. **T12**, 51 (1986); S. J. D. Phoenix and P. L. Knight, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **7**, 116 (1990).

[20] E. S. Guerra, B. M. Garraway and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 3482 (1997).

Fig. 1 - E. S. Guerra